This article was downloaded by:

On: 30 January 2011

Access details: Access Details: Free Access

Publisher Taylor & Francis

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-

41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon and the Related Elements

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713618290

HOW SULFOXIDES (S=0) BECOME CATION RADICALS (S+) IN ACID SOLUTIONS. A RE-ASSESSMENT

Henry J. Shine^a

^a Department of Chemistry, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas

To cite this Article Shine, Henry J.(1979) 'HOW SULFOXIDES (S=0) BECOME CATION RADICALS (S+) IN ACID SOLUTIONS. A RE-ASSESSMENT', Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon and the Related Elements, 6: 1, 279 — 280

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/03086647908080413 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03086647908080413

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

HOW SULFOXIDES (S=0) BECOME CATION RADICALS ($S^{\bullet+}$) IN ACID SOLUTIONS.

A RE-ASSESSMENT

Henry J. Shine

Department of Chemistry, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas, 79409

It has been known for many years that heterocyclic sulfoxides [e.g., phenothiazine- (1), thianthrene- (2), and phenoxathiin 5-oxide (3)] are converted into the parent cation radicals in acid solution. The same reaction occurs with $(XC_6H_4)_2S=0$ in which X is an electron-donating group. This behaviour has been represented for years as the homolysis of the protonated (or diprotonated) sulfoxide (eqs. 1,2).

$$S=0 + H^{+} \qquad S^{+} - OH \rightarrow S^{+} + \cdot OH$$
 (1)

$$s^{+}$$
 OH + H⁺ s^{-} OH₂ \rightarrow s^{+} + H₂0⁺ (2)

Some years ago it was shown that each of the heterocyclic 5-oxides gave, in fact, $\underline{\text{two}}$ cation radicals in acid solution. For example, $\underline{1}$ in 59% H_2SO_4 gave both phenothiazine- and 3-hydroxyphenothiazine cation radical (Shine and Mach, 1965). Similar results were obtained with $\underline{2}$ (Shine and Piette, 1962; Shine and Robinson, 1963) and $\underline{3}$ (Shine and Small, 1965) in 96% H_2SO_4 . The formation of the parent cation radical appeared, spectroscopically, to originate from the heterocyclic dication, while the formation of the hydroxy cation radical appeared to occur later than that of the parent.

These reactions are now re-evaluated and explained without resorting to homolytic pathways. It is proposed that the dication is hydroxylated at a

ring position (e.g., eq. 3), and that the hydroxy heterocycle so formed reduces more of the dication to the cation radical (e.g., eq. 4). In so doing a hydroxy dication is formed, which itself is reduced in subsequent similar reactions. An example is given in eqs. 3-5, using $\frac{2}{2}$ (X = S) and $\frac{2}{3}$, X = 0. Modification is needed for $\frac{1}{2}$ (X = NH) since the dication in its deprotonated form is involved (cf. Hanson and Norman, 1973). Spectroscopic evidence for these proposals is presented.